IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2773 OF 2007

Mr. Hanumant Govind Wadar .. Petitioner.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents.

Ms.Kranti Kamble with K.R.Jagdale for the Petitioner.

Mr.P.M.Patil, AGP for the Respondents.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO AND
R.M. SAVANT, JJ.

DATED : 17th APRIL, 2007.

P.C.:

. The petitioner was claiming that the service rendered during the period 1968 to 1976 be treated as qualifying service for pension. On facts, the Tribunal arrived at a conclusion that the petitioner was unable to produce any documentary evidence in support thereof. A review came to be filed. In that Review Petition, the petitioner produced four documents in support of his contention that he was engaged in the year 1968 to 1972. We have considered the said documents. These are the documents of particular projects and not related to a regular service rendered by the petitioner. Apart from that there are breaks in service some-times of some months in between.

2. Considering the above, in our opinion, the petitioner has been unable to make out a case that he has completed the necessary period of service for getting pension.

3. In the light of that no interference is called for. Petition rejected.

(F.I.REBELLO,J.)

(R.M.SAVANT,J.)

.....